The Green Investment Paradox: A Critical Analysis of the Socioeconomic Impacts of Sustainable Investment Danantara Indonesia

Made Satyaguna1
Economics, 17 Agustus 1945 University - Surabaya, Indonesia
E-mail: satyaguna1272200003@surel.untag-sby.ac.id

Received: Mar, 2025; Accepted: Apr, 2025; Published: June, 2025
Permalink/DOI:

Abstract

This study uses the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to examine potential contradictions that may occur during the implementation of green investment policies by the Daya Anagata Nusantara Investment Management Agency (Danantara Indonesia) prior to the commencement of its activities. This analysis critiques how sustainable investment principles and practices often conflict, particularly in the context of government implementation. By reviewing existing literature on green economy policies, effective public governance, socio-economic impacts, and sustainable development, this study seeks to uncover and anticipate potential discrepancies between policy aspirations and actual outcomes. The study examines prospective economic and social impacts by offering important insights into the efficacy of strategies used and their implementation to mitigate adverse impacts while enhancing social and economic benefits. The findings aim to build a strong foundation for proactive policymaking and strategic considerations at the early stages of Danantara's operations, underscoring the importance of recognizing the intricacies and depths of green economy policies.

Keywords: Green Economy, Good Public Policy Governance, Socioeconomic Impact, Sustainable Development

BACKGROUND

In recent years, there has been a significant shift worldwide towards sustainable development, with the Indonesian government taking an active role by launching the Daya Anagata Nusantara Investment Management Agency (Danantara Indonesia). One of the main objectives of the agency is to promote green investment as a component of the national strategy to promote sustainable economic growth. However, green investment often faces significant contradictions, where the goals of economic expansion and environmental preservation must be aligned with the various social and economic demands of the population.

The implementation of green investment policies often faces an internal contradiction between the urgent need for immediate economic progress and the principles of long-term environmental preservation. In addition, these policies can lead to disproportionate socio-economic outcomes, often favoring certain groups while leaving others marginalized. These effects raise significant uncertainty about the long-term sustainability of green investments, prompting important questions about how to effectively assess and enhance the impact of such policies.

This study seeks to comprehensively examine how Danantara Indonesia, as a leading figure in green investment policy, fulfills its responsibilities in this complex and challenging environment. The focus of this study is to identify and assess these contradictions, exploring how initiatives designed to improve social well-being and environmental sustainability can, paradoxically, exacerbate social and economic inequalities. Using a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach, this study reviews the available literature to investigate the effectiveness and impact of green investment policies and proposes strategies that aim to minimize adverse impacts while maximizing socio-economic benefits.

The hope of this study is to address this gap in the current literature by offering a detailed review of the contradictions in green investment and providing reasoned recommendations for improving implementation strategies. Through the methodology used, this study can clarify how combining good public policy governance with sustainable development principles can effectively promote investments that are not only environmentally friendly but also equitable and inclusive.

THE GREEN INVESTMENT PARADOX

Green investment has become an important element in sustainable development strategies in many countries, including Indonesia. However, the implementation of these investments often reveals significant contradictions, where efforts to achieve sustainable economic growth often run counter to prevailing social and ecological realities. This contradiction is particularly evident in the operations of the newly formed Daya Anagata Nusantara Investment Management Agency (Danantara Indonesia), which aims to increase and consolidate government investment to drive national economic growth through green economy principles.

The main contradiction in green investment revolves around the tension between economic growth and environmental protection. Renewable energy, often seen as the cornerstone of green investment strategies, requires large-scale infrastructure development that can have significant environmental impacts. Initiatives such as the construction of hydroelectric dams, intended to reduce dependence on fossil fuels, can damage local ecosystems, displace populations, and worsen hydrological conditions and biodiversity loss.

In addition, green investment often grapples with the challenge of balancing long-term efficacy with immediate economic returns. While the ultimate goal is to promote sustainability, the urgency to achieve quick economic returns from these investments can lead to less sustainable decisions. For example, intensive land use for sustainable agriculture or biofuels may reduce carbon emissions in the short term, but can simultaneously lead to land degradation, reduced biodiversity, and even land-use conflicts.

Another important aspect is the contradiction between inclusivity and social justice. Although green investments are often portrayed as a means to achieve more inclusive and equitable economic progress, the reality can be very different. Such projects can inadvertently exacerbate social inequalities, leaving local communities and disadvantaged groups behind. For example, renewable energy initiatives located in remote areas may not directly benefit local populations or, worse, lead to further displacement or marginalization.

Given the complexities and contradictions that arise from implementing green investments, it is essential to critically assess current policies and practices. This study uses a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to examine the existing literature, assess its effectiveness, and propose more realistic and effective policy modifications, aiming to align economic and environmental goals more efficiently and equitably.

PUBLIC POLICY GOVERNANCE

In the realm of sustainable development, green investment is seen as an important tool in the global fight against climate change. However, the reality of implementing green investment policies in practice often reveals considerable paradoxes, especially regarding their socio-economic impacts. As a specialist in governance and public policy, the study notes that one of the main obstacles facing these policies is achieving a balance between environmental initiatives and the socio-economic impacts that arise as a result. The implementation of Effective Public Policy Governance in green investment is not only focused on ecological sustainability but must also take into account the broader social and economic dynamics that are taking place.

The socio-economic impacts of green investment can be complex and contradictory. For example, while renewable energy projects such as hydropower or wind turbines aim to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and encourage the adoption of cleaner energy, they can also cause problems such as community displacement, reduced access to natural resources, and disruption of traditional livelihoods. This contradiction implies that investments intended to generate long-term environmental benefits can have immediate adverse impacts on the social and economic spheres.

In practice, green investment policies must deliberately include strategies to mitigate these negative impacts. For example, project development must go hand in hand with a thorough social impact assessment and effective mitigation strategies, to ensure that affected communities receive fair compensation and benefit from the project. This requires a strong governance framework that emphasizes transparency, public engagement, and accountability.

In addition, green investments must be designed to be inclusive and supportive of local economies. This can be achieved by creating new job opportunities in sustainable sectors and encouraging the development of skills needed for a green economy. In this way, green investment policies not only aim to reduce environmental impacts but also promote social equity and inclusive economic progress.

LITERATUR REVIEW

In an era of heightened climate change concerns, green investment has emerged as a key approach to facilitate the transition to sustainable development. While there is a general consensus that green investment policies can promote sustainability, the current body of literature illustrates a marked inconsistency between the objectives and implementation of these policies, particularly regarding Good Public Policy Governance and Socioeconomic Impacts. This study seeks to improve understanding of the intricacies associated with the success and failure of green investment implementation by examining recent academic research and related case studies.

A study by (Patel & Jackson, 2021) on "The Impact of Green Bonds on Sustainable Development" evaluates the efficacy of green bonds in financing environmentally friendly projects. The findings of this study reveal fluctuations in economic and environmental outcomes associated with efficient management and distribution of funds. At the same time, (Hansen & Lehmann, 2022) in "Evaluating the Social Impact of Investment in Renewable Energy: Evidence from Europe" shows that while investments in the renewable energy sector have increased job creation, tensions persist within local communities due to significant social transformations.

From a policy perspective, the World Bank (2023) report, "Governance Frameworks for Green Investment: Global Best Practices and Lessons Learned," presents a comprehensive examination of country strategies for implementing green investment policies. The analysis identifies political stability, legal certainty, and public engagement as critical components contributing to the success of such policies.

A case study of a solar energy initiative in Morocco, analyzed by (Moreno & Cohen, 2022) in "Solar Energy Projects and Socioeconomic Development in North Africa," offers a practical perspective on the obstacles and achievements faced during the implementation of renewable energy projects. While the initiative has been successful in reducing Morocco's dependence on fossil fuels, it has also faced challenges related to land conflicts and unequal distribution of economic benefits, raising questions about the effectiveness of the socioeconomic strategies implemented.

METHOD

This study uses a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to analyze the impact of green investments within the framework of effective public policy governance and socio-economic implications, assessing their potential to produce beneficial outcomes or uncovering inconsistencies in their implementation. The methodology uses an evidence-based strategy to find, assess, and summarize the latest academic materials published from 2021 to date. The SLR aims to improve understanding of the efficacy of green investment programs and to identify critical elements that influence their success or failure.

The literature evaluated was sourced from sources using keywords related to Green Economy, Good Public Policy Governance, Socio-Economic Impacts, and Sustainable Development. Inclusion criteria included studies that specifically addressed the impact of green investments on economic and social sustainability, along with the efficacy of supportive government policies. Studies that were not relevant to the main topic, did not have a strong empirical basis, or were published before 2021 were removed from the review.

Data from the reviewed literature were extracted and analyzed through thematic and meta-synthesis techniques, categorizing study findings according to prevailing patterns and significant trends in green investment policy governance. This study uses the Multi-Stakeholder Governance Model as a conceptual framework to assess the involvement of multiple stakeholders in the formulation, implementation, and evaluation of public policies related to green investment.

This study uses the Multi-Stakeholder Governance Model to analyze the impact of various participants, such as: government, corporate sector, civil society, academia, and local communities, on the efficacy of green investment. This strategy is based on the idea that green economic sustainability cannot be achieved solely through state-centered policies or top-down legislation; instead, it requires cross-sector collaboration that facilitates the active participation of multiple stakeholders. This study uses this model to assess the current literature and build a more appropriate framework to address the paradoxes faced in implementing green investment.

The Multi-Stakeholder Governance Model enhances transparency, accountability, and efficacy of green policies through the involvement of various actors. The model examines several indicators, including:

1. Public Participation: The extent to which environmental policies involve local communities and civil society in project design
2. Regulatory Transparency: Explanation of regulations and oversight frameworks in green investments to ensure accountability
3. Public-Private Sector Coordination: Balancing incentives and laws to enable corporate sector involvement in sustainable practices while maintaining ecological and social balance.
4. Assessment and Critique: The presence of a system that facilitates regular assessment of green policies based on empirical results, incorporating feedback from various stakeholders

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A systematic literature review (SLR) on the relationship between Good Public Policy Governance and the socio-economic impacts of green investment initiatives reveals a complex landscape where policy design and implementation play a critical role in determining sustainability outcomes. This review consolidates insights from recent research published from 2021 to date, providing a deeper understanding of how green investment is understood, implemented and its impacts in different socio-economic settings.

Governance and Policy Framework

The findings suggest that Good Public Policy Governance is critical to addressing the complexities of green investment. For example, (Patel & Jackson,

2021) investigated green bonds and emphasized the need for a robust governance framework to ensure transparent resource allocation and utilization. This view is reinforced by (Liu et al., 2021), who analyzed the implementation of renewable energy policies in Asia and concluded that successful initiatives typically involve strong government support, clear policy guidance, and active participation from stakeholders.

Research conducted by (Hansen & Lehmann, 2022) further strengthens this conclusion, illustrating that efficient governance can greatly enhance the social outcomes of renewable energy investments, especially when policies are tailored to local needs and incorporate community feedback. Collectively, these studies suggest that governance standards have a direct impact on the effectiveness of policy measures and the sustainability of green initiatives.

Socio-Economic Impacts

Regarding the socio-economic aspects, (Green et al., 2021) offers an empirical analysis showing the job-creating potential of green investments in Europe. However, the distribution of these employment opportunities usually favors urban areas over rural areas, resulting in unequal economic gains. This geographical disparity underscores the need for policies that promote a more equitable allocation of economic benefits across regions.

(Khan & Choi, 2022) takes a closer look at the consequences for local communities in developing countries, showing that while green projects can help reduce carbon emissions, they can also result in social displacement and disruption of conventional livelihoods. The dual nature of socio-economic impacts calls for a framework that not only promotes environmental benefits but also protects against negative social consequences.

Integrating Public Governance and Socioeconomic Impacts

To ensure the long-term success of green investments, it is essential to effectively integrate strong public governance with an understanding of socioeconomic impacts. The conceptual framework suggested in this review emphasizes that collaboration between government, industry, and communities is essential to address the complexities and challenges that often arise in implementing sustainability initiatives. Engaging multiple stakeholders provides a broader perspective on how public policies can be tailored to maximize socioeconomic benefits while minimizing adverse impacts on local populations.

The integration of public governance and socioeconomic outcomes often hinges on the capacity to strike a balance between economic development and social equity. Research conducted by (Khan & Choi, 2022) shows that while renewable energy initiatives can lead to improved infrastructure and economic conditions, they can also cause social upheaval if they do not take into account the local social context during their design and implementation. For example, projects that ignore the needs or concerns of local populations can lead to resistance and conflict, ultimately hindering the achievement of sustainability goals.

Furthermore, the importance of transparency and accountability in governance across projects cannot be overstated. Engaging the public in planning and decision-making processes ensures that community perspectives are acknowledged and taken into account, which not only increases community acceptance of proposed initiatives but also helps in early identification and resolution of potential problems. This is a critical factor in maintaining public trust and strengthening the legitimacy of green investment efforts.

Internationally, insights gained from global project successes and failures highlight the need to adapt policies to local circumstances. For example, a solar energy initiative in Morocco has thrived not only because of its technological or financial success but also because it has taken a careful approach to the social and economic impacts on local communities. This involved community involvement from the start and ensured that the project delivered direct economic benefits, such as employment opportunities and skills development.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The systematic literature review (SLR) on Good Public Policy Governance and Socioeconomic Impacts in green investment concludes that the success of green investment depends not only on environmental efficiency but also on the effectiveness of public policy implementation and its social and economic consequences. The analysis shows that effective governance, transparency, and broad stakeholder engagement are essential to ensure that green investment generates equitable and sustainable returns. However, significant obstacles remain in its implementation, especially with the gap in the allocation of economic and social benefits, inadequate accountability frameworks, and potential conflicts of interest among economic, social, and environmental objectives.

The success of green investment is often undermined by the lack of multi-stakeholder participation in the planning and decision-making process. In the absence of inclusive governance, green investment initiatives can exacerbate social and economic inequalities, especially in areas directly affected. Furthermore, the absence of a continuous review system and the disparities in the interests of government, business, and society often result in reactive rather than proactive policies. Therefore, it is imperative to design more dynamic, evidence-based, and inclusive strategies to ensure that green investments meet environmental objectives while generating greater and more equitable economic returns.

These findings call for more definitive policy recommendations to improve the effectiveness of green investments. Initially, green investment strategies should incorporate participatory governance methods that involve local communities and marginalized communities from the beginning of project planning. This can be achieved through greater transparency in public discussions, community-oriented planning, and empowerment of communities in decision-making processes. Second, governments should establish monitoring and evaluation frameworks based on explicit performance indicators, including socio-economic metrics that accurately represent the real impacts of green investments on community well-being. This can be realized in the form of regular environmental and social audits, in addition to the involvement of independent entities to impartially evaluate project success.

Third, to ensure a more equitable allocation of economic benefits, green investment policies should encourage local job creation by enhancing training and certification programs for workers in the green economy sector. This aims to improve the readiness of the local workforce for the industrial transformation that comes with the shift to a green economy, while ensuring that the economic benefits of green investments are distributed equitably beyond specific urban locations and demographics. Fourth, subsidy and incentive programs should be more thoughtfully formulated to ensure that only projects with tangible social and environmental benefits receive government support. Fiscal incentives and sustainable finance mechanisms should be directed to projects dedicated to inclusive socio-economic development.

Improved coordination is essential among government agencies, the commercial sector, and civil society organizations in the management of green investments. This interdisciplinary collaboration will enhance the adaptability of policies to evolving economic and environmental circumstances, while strengthening the potential for more responsive and innovative governance. By combining the principles of good governance and a focus on socio-economic impacts, green investments can effectively serve as a tool to promote sustainable and equitable development.

REFERENCES

Patel, S., & Jackson, T. (2021). "Impact of Green Bonds on Sustainable Development: An Analysis of Economic and Environmental Outcomes." Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment.

Liu, F., Wong, K., & Zheng, P. (2021). "Effective Governance in Renewable Energy Policy Implementation: A Case Study Approach." Energy Policy.

Hansen, A., & Lehmann, M. (2022). "Evaluating the Social Impact of Investment in Renewable Energy: Evidence from Europe." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.

Green, A., Brown, D., & Hall, J. (2021). "Socioeconomic Impacts of Green Investments: An Empirical Analysis on Job Creation." Journal of Environmental Economics and Management.

Khan, F., & Choi, T. (2022). "The Dual Impact of Green Energy Projects on Local Communities in Developing Countries." Journal of Development Studies.

Kustiwi, I.A. 2024. “Accounting Information System (AIS): Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Management in Farm Tourism Kelompok

Tani Elok Mekar Sari." DiE: Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi Dan Manajemen 15(1):123–31. doi: 10.30996/die.v15i1.10634.

Kustiwi, I. A., Hwihanus, H. 2023. Sistem Informasi Akuntansi Dari Sisi Audit Internal. JPEKBM (Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi, Kewirausahaan, Bisnis, dan Manajemen, Vol 7, No 2.

World Bank. (2023). "Governance Frameworks for Green Investment: Global Best Practices and Lessons Learned." World Bank Publications.

Moreno, A., & Cohen, B. (2022). "Solar Energy Projects and Socioeconomic Development in North Africa." Energy Policy.

Smith, R., & Renner, A. (2022). "Governance Strategies for a Sustainable Digital World." Global Environmental Politics.

Bates, L., & Kee, T. (2021). "Integrating Good Governance in Green Investment Frameworks." Public Administration Review.

Roberts, H., & Michaels, S. (2021). "Impact Assessment of Renewable Energy on Regional Development." Economic Analysis and Policy.

Nelson, G., & Phillips, A. (2022). "Urban-Rural Divide in Green Infrastructure Projects." Urban Studies.

Wang, Y., & Lim, C. (2021). "Transparency and Accountability in Green Bond Markets." Journal of Business Ethics.

Davidson, K., & O'Sullivan, M. (2022). "Challenges and Opportunities for Green Investments in Emerging Markets." Emerging Markets Review.

Armstrong, J., & Taylor, K. (2023). "Evaluating Long-term Socioeconomic Benefits of Green Infrastructure." Environmental and Resource Economics.

Brooks, C., & Harris, R. (2021). "Local Community Engagement and Sustainability Initiatives: A Pathway to Success for Green Projects." Journal of Cleaner Production.

.